



SOUTH YORKSHIRE POLICE AND CRIME PANEL

4 FEBRUARY 2022

PRESENT: Councillor R Haleem (Rotherham MBC) (Chair)

Councillor A Cherryholme (Barnsley MBC) (Vice-Chair)

Councillors: T Baum-Dixon (Rotherham MBC), P Garbutt (Sheffield City Council), S Knowles (Doncaster MBC), B Lodge (Sheffield City Council), R Milsom (Sheffield City Council), C Pickering (Barnsley MBC) and C Ransome (Doncaster MBC)

Independent Co-opted Members: W Carratt and Professor A James

M McCarthy, J Field, L Noble and A Shirt
(Barnsley MBC)

Dr A Billings (South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner)

M BATTERY, G Hyland, S Parkin and F Topliss
(Office of the South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner)

In attendance remotely:

D Carrington (South Yorkshire Police) and E Eruero (Office of the South Yorkshire Police and Crime Commissioner)

Apologies for absence were received from
Councillor R Davison (Sheffield City Council), S Abbott and K Wright

1 **WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS**

The Chair welcomed everyone to the Panel's first meeting of 2022 and reported that today's Panel meeting would be webcast live to the public.

An extended welcome went to Gemma Hyland, Senior Communications Officer, OPCC.

2 **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies for absence were noted as above.

3 **ANNOUNCEMENTS**

None.

4 **URGENT ITEMS**

None.

5 ITEMS TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE ABSENCE OF THE PUBLIC AND PRESS

None.

6 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST BY INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS IN RELATION TO ANY ITEM OF BUSINESS ON THE AGENDA

None.

7 PUBLIC QUESTIONS:-

7A TO THE POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER

There were no public questions to the Police and Crime Commissioner.

7B TO THE POLICE AND CRIME PANEL

There were no public questions to the Police and Crime Panel.

8 MINUTES OF THE POLICE AND CRIME PANEL MEETING HELD ON 13 DECEMBER 2021

The Panel discussed and noted progress in respect of agreed actions captured on the Panel's Action Log set out in Appendix A to the minutes.

RESOLVED –

- i) That the minutes of the Police and Crime Panel meeting held on 13 December 2021 be agreed and signed by the Chair as a correct record.
- ii) Noted that the Panel's Action Log would be updated following discussion and agreement at today's meeting.

9 THE PCC'S POLICE AND CRIME PLAN FOR 2022-2025

A report was submitted which provided Members with the Commissioner's draft Police and Crime Plan (Plan) – '*Safer Streets More Police*' for 2022-2025.

It was reported that the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011 made provision for a new or returning PCC to draft and issue a new Plan, in consultation with the Chief Constable, to set the policing objectives for the local area. The 2011 Act also provides for the Plan to be kept under review and variations issued, where necessary.

The Commissioner reported that, as in previous years, the draft Plan was based on information and consultation from a range of sources, and reflected the same three priorities – Protecting Vulnerable People, Tackling Crime and Anti-social Behaviour and Treating people Fairly. In addition, there were also some particular areas of focus in the coming year, such as: Violence Against Women and Girls (VAWG);

Drugs – and the gangs and serious violence associated with them; Better representation of the communities of South Yorkshire – male/female, ethnic minorities; and Road Safety and speeding.

In addition to local priorities, the Commissioner said that he needed to ensure that the Government's National Crime and Policing Measures were taken into account in the Plan.

The Panel noted that the section on Child Sexual Exploitation may be further amended slightly, depending on additional information sought from South Yorkshire Police.

The Commissioner provided the Panel with a detailed overview of his draft Plan. The Panel were made aware that, during 2022/23 there would be more new police officers in South Yorkshire funded from both national and local uplift.

During the 2022/23 financial year, there would be 43.5 additional officer posts in the Barnsley district, 100 additional officer posts in the Doncaster district, 49 additional officer posts in Rotherham and 105.5 posts in the Sheffield district. Overall, there would be more neighbourhood and responses officers in South Yorkshire to deal with crimes.

The Commissioner invited the Panel to comment on the Plan's contents in the form of a report, and make any recommendations by 18 February 2022, to enable the Plan to be published in a timely manner.

The Panel raised the following key points:

- Mr Carratt asked the Commissioner to consider rephrasing 'Priority 1 – Protecting Vulnerable People' to 'Working in Partnership to Protect Vulnerable People' and to split this into children and adults.
- Mr Carratt asked the Commissioner to consider strengthening wording in the Plan with regards to commissioning work undertaken by the PCC to support victims and include details of the work undertaken by the Force to support victims of crime.
- Mr Carratt also asked the Commissioner to consider the inclusion of high-level impact statements which could sit alongside some of the priorities.
- Councillor Garbutt referred to the 'Introduction' section of the Plan under the heading 'Aim'. He asked the Commissioner to consider the inclusion of the word 'travel' in the 'Aim' section. This was due to the Commissioner including road safety in the Plan as a priority.
- Councillor Garbutt referred to the commissioning/co-commissioning of support services listed on page 7 of the Plan. He queried how the Commissioner measured their effectiveness.

- Councillor Garbutt highlighted that there was a certain amount of domestic abuse that was female and male. He asked if this was measured and handled by the Force.
- Referring to the areas of focus within 'Priority 2 – Tackling Crime and Anti-Social Behaviour'. Councillor Garbutt asked if the Violence Reduction Unit could be better served if a different legal framework was taken towards drugs. He asked if the Commissioner was considering how this could improve matters, and if he would be asking the Home Office to consider this.
- Councillor Garbutt asked if there were plans, targets and reduction measures in place to reduce speeding and improve road safety. In addition, he asked if performance measures in relation to speeding and road safety could be included in future quarterly performance reports presented at Panel meetings.
- Councillor Baum-Dixon referred to page 11 of the Plan 'Child Sexual Exploitation'. He commented that, in his opinion, there needed to be more focus on victims being taken seriously. Furthermore, assurances needed to be provided that serious complaints are dealt with properly by the Force. In addition, he felt that there needed to be more accountability, particularly by local authorities.
- Councillor Baum-Dixon asked if there were any future plans to establish smaller Rural and Wildlife Crime specialist teams in other South Yorkshire districts. In addition, he asked if the Force were working with other local police forces to share best practice in relation to rural and wildlife crime.
- Councillor Baum-Dixon referred to Community Speed Watch. He commented that, enforcement action could only be undertaken when a warranted officer was onsite to issue a penalty.
- Councillor Pickering referred to the area of focus in relation to domestic abuse. He made the Panel aware that some support services used a screening tool when working with male victims of domestic abuse. He highlighted that, domestic abuse was not a gendered crime, and that a gender inclusive approach to domestic abuse should be referenced in the Plan.
- Councillor Pickering referred to 'Priority 1 – Protecting Vulnerable People'. He said that the supply of drugs was now often undertaken from people's homes. He highlighted that this had produced a new series of vulnerable people in the community (often elderly people) who felt intimidated by the individuals living around them and this could stop them from reporting incidents to the Force. Councillor Pickering said that the Force needed to identify vulnerable people living near drug users and be more proactive to assure people that action would be taken.
- Councillor Milsom referred to 'Priority 2 – Tackling Crime and Anti-Social Behaviour'. She felt that the Plan omitted to set out goals in relation to how anti-social behaviour has particular effects on individuals and communities. In addition, she said that crime and anti-social behaviour needed to be tackled in a

multi-agency approach. She also queried how the public could be reassured that crime and anti-social behaviour is being tackled by the Force.

- Councillor Ransome said that she disagreed with the three priorities outlined in the Plan. She asked the Commissioner to consider strengthening the priorities to make it more explicit that the police have a role as enforcers.

The Commissioner thanked the Panel for their comments and provided detailed responses to the questions raised above.

RESOLVED – That Members of the Police and Crime Panel:-

- i) Noted the contents of the PCC's new draft Plan.
- ii) Agreed to provide comments on the draft Plan in a report to the Commissioner by 18 February 2022.

10 PROPOSED COUNCIL TAX PRECEPT AND REVENUE BUDGET FOR 2022/23

A report of the Chief Finance Officer, OPCC was submitted to notify the Panel of the Commissioner's proposed Council Tax precept for 2022/23.

The Commissioner referred the Panel to the proposal in the report at paragraph 2 (a). He recommended that the Panel support a proposed annual increase in the policing element of the Council Tax (the precept) of £10.00 on a Band D property, which was levied to support:

- The investment in the required infrastructure to ensure all officers are fully supported in their journey into the Force with further planned investment in both accommodation, Central Assessment and Practice Education (CAPE) assessment and tutoring support.
- Enhancement of the operating model and investment in SYP priorities:
 - Maintaining the current levels of PCSO numbers at 126, and
 - Improving the assets e.g. technology and estates.

The Commissioner provided the Panel with context to his proposal. The Home Office police funding settlement for 2022/23 had announced additional funding of £795.8m, for policing areas, with 31% of this expected to come from increased Council Tax (£246m).

The settlement gave Police and Crime Commissioners in England flexibility to increase the policing element of Council Tax precept locally up to a limit of £10 on a Band D property. Government allocation calculations had assumed the maximum precept increase.

The Panel noted that the core grant for South Yorkshire amounted to £233.8m, a cash increase of 5.8%, which covered the current cost of additional police officer uplift, national insurance increase, and contribution towards pay inflation.

The Commissioner said that, based on the latest tax base estimates from the four Local Authorities in South Yorkshire, after a £10 increase, the Medium Term Resource Strategy (MTRS) included planned savings of £1.7m in 2022/23, with continued savings plans for the whole of the MTRS period. Despite these savings, the use of £2.3m of reserves in 2022/23 would be required to balance the budget.

The Commissioner highlighted that South Yorkshire Police also have a number of legacy costs to budget for, which were currently estimated to reach £121m by 2026/27, of which £18m would have to be paid by the Force.

During 2022/23, the use of £0.2m would be required from reserves to balance the budget, and a combination of savings and use of reserves amounting to £11.3m throughout the CSR MTRS period.

Referring to the Reserves Movement graph at Appendix B of the report, the Commissioner said that, looking ahead over coming years, there would remain a deficit over those years which would have to be funded from reserves unless the Government grant was more generous. If no action was taken by the Savings Team, then the Force would run out of reserves by 2026/27.

An online survey had been undertaken with the public in relation to policing priorities and the Council Tax precept. A total of 1,042 responses had been received and the results showed that, 46% of respondents (479) were supportive of up to a £10 (Band D property) increase. 54% (563) were not supportive of an increase.

The Medium Term Resource Strategy (MTRS) was presented at Appendix A to the report. The overall budget proposal was £310.7m, including investment to support the further increase in police officer posts. Also included was investment into prioritised growth areas relating to essential core and uplift delivery, enhancement of the operating model and Force priorities.

The report set out a number of key risks and uncertainties, which were noted by Members.

Councillor Garbutt asked if the prudential reserves level took into account the assumed levels of inflation.

D Carrington confirmed that the prudential reserves level was currently set at 5% of the net revenue budget until the end of 2024/25, and did take account of inflation.

Councillor Milsom asked if there was an increase in the number of individuals claiming Council Tax relief, would this affect the effectiveness of the policing element of the precept.

E Eruero replied that an assumption had been built into the Local Authorities council tax base that not all individuals in a Council Tax band would pay their full Council Tax bill. It was also confirmed that individuals applying for Council Tax relief would be doing so for the whole of their Council Tax bill.

Councillor Milsom asked if there had been any indication from Government as to how officer salaries would be adequately covered for in future years, especially when considering that the uplift in officer numbers was producing a relatively young workforce who would become more experienced in future years and progress through pay increments.

The Commissioner replied that Ministers would probably claim that they had taken this into account for future settlements. However, he suspected that funding would not be adequate. He was aware that there would be financial implications as officers rose to more senior positions within the Force, together with them receiving future pay increments.

Councillor Milsom asked if rising fuel costs had been accounted for either in the one-year funding settlement or the three year Spending Review. She also asked if there was an expectation that Commissioners would have to accommodate higher fuel costs within their budgets. Additionally, Councillor Milsom asked if the Commissioner was concerned about this. Furthermore, had there had been any suggestion that there might be emergency Government funding available should fuel costs begin to impinge on other budgetary commitments.

The Commissioner replied that the Policing Minister had made it very clear that there would be no in-year funding changes or additions to police funding, other than, the possibility of funding around the McCloud and Sargeant pensions issue, which was yet to be resolved.

The Commissioner confirmed that an assumption had been made in the budget for rising fuel costs.

Councillor Baum-Dixon referred to the current level of reserves. He asked if the Commissioner could consider granting relief to those individuals in South Yorkshire who were struggling to pay their Council Tax bill.

The Commissioner replied that he did recognise that there were people in South Yorkshire who were financially struggling, and these are most likely to be the ones who would receive discounts on their Council Tax bill. Despite the precept increase, the Force would have to use reserves to balance the budget.

Councillor Lodge asked if the Commissioner was confident that legacy costs would continue to be covered by Government Special Grant funding and if there was any indication that the level of Special Grant funding would increase in future to cover legacy costs.

In response, the Commissioner said that Special Grant funding was discretionary. However, along with the Chief Constable, he had written to the Home Secretary to request her to consider and reconsider South Yorkshire's Special Grant funding.

The Chair, Councillor Haleem referred to the recommendation in the report which asked the Police and Crime Panel to consider and support a proposed annual increase in the policing element of the Council Tax (the precept) for 2022/23 of £10.00 for a Band D property, which would be an increase of 4.69%. The Panel noted that most properties in South Yorkshire are in Bands A (57%) and B (17%)

and C (12%) where the increase would be A 13p per week, B 15p per week, and C 17p per week.

A recorded vote was taken and recorded as follows:-

For accepting the proposed increase in the policing element of the Council Tax precept for 2022/23 – (9) Councillors Haleem, Cherryholme, Garbutt, Knowles, Lodge, Milsom, Pickering, Mr W Carratt and Professor A James.

Against the proposed increase (2) Councillors Baum-Dixon and Ransome.

Abstained (0).

The proposal was approved.

RESOLVED – That Members of the Police and Crime:-

- i) Voted to accept the proposed annual increase in the policing element of the Council Tax (the precept) for 2022/23 of £10 for a Band D property, which would be an increase of 4.69%.
- ii) Noted that most properties in South Yorkshire are in Bands A (57%) and B (17%) and C (12%) where the increase would be A 13p per week, B 15p per week, and C 17p per week.

11 POLICE AND CRIME COMMISSIONER'S UPDATE (INCLUDING DECISIONS MADE SINCE THE LAST MEETING)

A report of the Commissioner was presented to inform Members that the Commissioner is supported by the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner (OPCC) in delivering his Police and Crime Plan, and in effectively discharging his wide range of legal responsibilities. The OPCC has a Delivery Plan which outlines how this is undertaken each year.

The report provided Members with an update on key PCC and OPCC activities against the new Delivery Plan since the Panel's last meeting held on 13 December 2021.

The report also provided Members with information on the decisions taken by the PCC since the Panel's last meeting.

The key activities reported for the period under the headings within the OPCC's new Delivery Plan were detailed in the report and noted by Members.

Councillor Garbutt referred to two recent reports in the media in relation to various aspects of policing, including Child Sexual Exploitation. He sought assurances from the Commissioner that South Yorkshire Police was not one of the forces who had been listed as not having learned any lessons.

M Buttery replied that Child Sexual Exploitation remained a specific area of focus for the Commissioner and was included in the new Police and Crime Plan. Child

Sexual Exploitation would be discussed at the March meeting of the Public Accountability Board, where the Commissioner rigorously holds the Force to account in this area.

In addition, the Commissioner was awaiting the receipt of the National Crime Agency's (NCA) independent investigation around non-recent CSE in the UK and Rotherham, together with the Independent Police Complaints' Commission's investigations in relation to the behaviour of officers working at the time.

M Buttery provided assurances that there was no part of the Commissioner's holding to account arrangements where CSE was not a high priority. In addition, CSE was also considered at both public and private Force and OPCC meetings.

The Commissioner reminded Members that Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary and Fire and Rescue Services (HMICFRS) had rated South Yorkshire Police outstanding in the area of ethical leadership.

In response to a question from Councillor Baum-Dixon, the Commissioner provided assurances that any recommendations arising from the two reports would be taken very seriously by himself and the Force.

The Commissioner invited Councillor Baum-Dixon to meet with staff at the Protecting Vulnerable People (PVP) Unit in Rotherham to obtain an overview of the work they undertake.

Councillor Baum-Dixon welcomed the invitation.

Councillor Milsom asked if the joint PCC and South Yorkshire Police Sustainability Strategy Action Plan could be included in the Update reports at future Panel meetings.

M Buttery acknowledged the request and agreed to include information in future PCC Update reports.

Professor James referred to the update in relation to Violence Against Women and Girls. He raised concerns that, only one of the four Community Safety Partnerships had any reference to Violence Against Women and Girls in their planning and reporting.

In addition, Professor James said that he had made an observation on previous occasions that many female offenders are also victims of domestic abuse and were vulnerable in their own way. He asked that silos be broken down to allow female offenders to be factored into deliberations around Violence Against Women and Girls.

The Commissioner acknowledged Professor James' observation.

M Buttery said that the publication of the Force's Female Offenders' Strategy had been delayed. The OPCC had requested a date when the Strategy would be made available. The LCJB Business Manager was heavily involved in the work around

Violence Against Women and Girls and had already highlighted the perspective around female offenders.

In relation to the Community Safety Partnerships, M Buttery said that Violence Against Women and Girls featured in the PCC's new Police and Crime Plan and was not considered in isolation. The Community Safety Partnerships would be held to account from a funding perspective around the Actions Plans they have in place around serious violence, domestic abuse and Violence Against Women and Girls.

Professor James referred to the work being undertaken in relation to disproportionality in the Criminal Justice System. He asked if the report on progress from the Task and Finish Group could be circulated to Panel Members. He also asked if the Task and Finish Group would be linking in with the work of the PCC's Independent Ethics Panel on disproportionality in stop and search data, and the Force's efforts to improve workforce diversity.

M Buttery replied that the reports considered at the Criminal Justice Board were not discussed in public; she agreed to seek the Board's agreement to ascertain what information could be shared with the Panel.

In relation to the overlap of work being undertaken between the Independent Ethics Panel and the Criminal Justice Board, M Buttery said that the OPCC was aware of this and would be seeking to address any common themes arising.

Professor James asked if the Panel could receive further details in relation to the grants awarded and activity underway.

M Buttery replied that arrangements were being made for a Violence Reduction Unit (VRU) presentation to be given to Panel Members, which would focus on the funding that is made available through both the VRU and the OPCC's Commissioning Team. In addition, a small amount of Commissioning Services information was also included in the quarterly Performance report.

M Buttery said that she would make arrangements to include further details around Commissioning Services in future quarterly Performance reports.

Professor James noted that the OPCC's Partnerships and Commissioning Manager was a member of the Partnership's Commissioning Sub-Group. He asked how success was measured in relation to the partnership work being undertaken to support successful rehabilitation across Yorkshire and the Humber. In addition, he also asked how much effort was being taken to look at the issue of diverting offenders from custody, rather than rehabilitating them.

M Buttery replied that she would make arrangements for Linda Mayhew to contact Professor James following today's meeting to provide a response to his question.

M Buttery understood that work was ongoing with regards to performance management. She added that the results in relation to how effective rehabilitation activity is could be provided after today's meeting.

RESOLVED – That Members of the Police and Crime Panel:-

- i) Noted the contents of the report and commented on any matters arising.
- ii) Asked questions on the matters contained in within the report, given that it explained how the PCC has over the period delivered his Police and Crime Plan, and discharged the wide range of his legal responsibilities.
- iii) Noted that the Commissioner would invite Councillor Baum-Dixon to meet with staff at the Protecting Vulnerable People (PVP) Unit in Rotherham to obtain an overview of the work they undertake.
- iv) Noted that updates on the Joint PCC and SYP Sustainability Strategy Action Plan would be included in future PCC Update reports.
- v) Noted that M Buttery had agreed to ascertain with the Local Criminal Justice Board if the update report in relation to the work being undertaken by the Task and Finish Group around disproportionality could be shared with the Panel.
- vi) Noted that arrangements would be made by the OPCC to include further details around Commissioning Services in future quarterly Performance Reports presented to the Panel.
- vii) Noted that M Buttery would make arrangements for Linda Mayhew to contact Professor James following today's meeting to provide him with a response to his question in relation to the Partnership's Commissioning Sub-Group.
- viii) Noted that M Buttery had agreed to provide Professor James with information in relation to how effective rehabilitation activity is across Yorkshire and the Humber.

12 REPORT BACK FROM DISTRICT COMMUNITY SAFETY PARTNERSHIP - MEMBER REPRESENTATIVES

Councillor Knowles provided the Panel with a detailed update on the key issues and work currently being undertaken by the Safer and Stronger Doncaster Partnership Board. It was noted that the Doncaster Community Safety Strategy had been signed-off by full Council ready for publication.

Councillor Milsom reported that the Safer Sheffield Partnership had been restructured over the last year. A relaunch of the Partnership was awaited with a new online presence and new ways of working between the various bodies. A number of Community Safety Wardens had also been recruited.

Due to the timings of the Barnsley and Rotherham Community Safety Partnership meetings, no updates were available for today's meeting.

RESOLVED – That Members of the Police and Crime Panel noted the feedback.

13 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF POLICE, FIRE AND CRIME PANELS - SURVEY RESULTS: FOR INFORMATION

The Panel noted the contents of a report of the National Association of Police, Fire and Crime Panels which presented the findings of a survey of Police, Fire and Crime Panels in England and Wales. The report also collated other relevant information to foster good practice amongst Panels.

The results showed a very diverse range of approaches in respect of Panel size, independent co-opted membership, AGM dates, meeting balanced appointment objectives and Panel allowances.

In response to a question from Professor James in relation to the appointment of a Deputy Police and Crime Commissioner, the Commissioner reported that recruitment had been delayed due to internal Labour Party issues.

M Buttery added that the Commissioner had received correspondence from the Home Office in relation to South Yorkshire's resilience plans in the absence of a Deputy Police and Crime Commissioner. The Commissioner had been encouraged by the Home Office to involve the Panel. A discussion would take place with L Noble after today's meeting.

RESOLVED – That Members of the Police and Crime Panel:-

- i) Noted the contents of the National Association of Police, Fire and Crime Panels survey results report.
- ii) Noted that a discussion would take place after today's meeting between M Buttery and L Noble in relation to the Deputy Police and Crime Commissioner appointment.

14 LEARNING AND DEVELOPMENT UPDATE

A report was submitted to update Members on current events – national, regional and local, together with future plans in respect of learning and development for the Panel.

Suggestions for any other learning and development opportunities Members may have to support the Panel's learning and development were welcomed.

A summary of the events which had taken place since the last meeting together with details of proposed future events were set out within the report for Members' information.

The Panel was reminded that a virtual session with the Violence Reduction Unit had been fixed for Friday 25 February at 10:00 am.

It was noted that arrangements would be made with the OPCC for Members to visit the Sexual Abuse and Referral Centre (SARC).

RESOLVED – That Members of the Police and Crime Panel:-

- i) Noted the update.

- ii) Agreed to provide suggestions for future learning and development.

15 POLICE AND CRIME PANEL MEETING DATES 2022-23

A report was submitted to set out a schedule of meeting dates for the Police and Crime Panel in 2022/23.

RESOLVED – That Members of the Police and Crime Panel:-

- i) Considered and approved the 2022/23 meeting dates set out below:-

- Monday 25 April 2022
- Monday 6 June 2022 – Annual Meeting
- Monday 18 July 2022
- Monday 19 September 2022
- Monday 5 December 2022
- Friday 3 February 2023
- Monday 24 April 2023

All meetings will take place at 1:00 pm with a pre-meeting for Members at 12:30 pm and be held in Barnsley Town Hall, unless stated otherwise.

- ii) Agreed to hold additional / extraordinary meetings / training events as and when appropriate / necessary.

16 WORK PROGRAMME / PAB DATES

Members considered the 2022/23 Work Programme and were reminded that they could submit issues for the Work Programme that fall within the Panel's Statutory role in supporting and scrutinising the Commissioner.

All issues would be given full consideration by the Chair, Vice-Chair and Commissioner at the pre-agenda planning meetings.

Additionally, Members were encouraged to attend the meetings of the Commissioner's Public Accountability Board (PAB) to increase their operational knowledge. These were currently being held virtually and Members could obtain details to 'dial in' to the meeting from L Noble or A Shirt.

Members were reminded that they could also submit questions for PAB through the OPCC, with 5 working days notice prior to the meeting.

RESOLVED – That Members of the Police and Crime Panel noted the contents of the 2022/23 Work Programme.

17 DATE AND TIME OF THE NEXT MEETING

RESOLVED - That the next meeting of the Police and Crime Panel be held on Monday 25 April 2022 at 1:00 pm in Barnsley Town Hall.

CHAIR